# Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:
- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHPD Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement.
- Attachment Requirement
- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

### Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved, they are not ranked per the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHPD Renewal Project Listing; and
- YHDP Replacement Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: United Housing Connections

### 2. Reallocation

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or Yes more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2024 into one or more new projects?

Alert: As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs may reallocate renewing Round 1 YHDP projects initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 YHDP Competition.

CoCs MAY NOT reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

## 3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal project funds to create new CoC project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

CoCs must not reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)							
\$93,604							
Eliminated Project Name Grant Number Eliminated		Component Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Type of Reallocation			
SPIHN Rapid FY2022	SC0152L4E012204	PH-RRH	\$82,156	Regular			
Kerns I	SC0130L4E012203	PH-PSH	\$11,448	Regular			

## 3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program offices/comm planning/coc/competition.

3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2023 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: SPIHN Rapid FY2022

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: SC0152L4E012204

Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-RRH
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$82,156

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 2500 characters)

The SPIHN Rapid FY2022 project was awarded to Opportunity Hub in the 2022 CoC Program Competition. In May 2023, Opportunity Hub closed its doors, and the organization decided that they would not be able to execute the SPIHN Rapid FY2022 project. The Upstate CoC (SC-501) Advisory Council voted to transfer the project to United Housing Connections for execution from December 1, 2023 to November 30, 2024. The City of Spartanburg will serve as a subgrantee during this award year.

In the current 2023 CoC Program Competition, United Housing Connections proposed voluntary elimination of the SPIHN Rapid project and reallocation of the funds. The Upstate CoC Advisory Council voted to reallocate the funds to the City of Spartanburg, who if awarded, will become the grantee of a Rapid Rehousing project starting December 1, 2024. This elimination and reallocation was intentional and mutually beneficial to keep a Rapid Rehousing project in Spartanburg County.

The City of Spartanburg was notified of the Advisory Council's reallocation decision on July 25, 2023.

Project Priority List FY2023	Page 5	09/20/2023
r toject r flority List i 12023	raye 3	03/20/2023

## 3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

### Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program offices/comm planning/coc/competition.

3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2023 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Kerns I

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: SC0130L4E012203

Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-PSH Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$11,448

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 2500 characters)

United Housing Connections proposed voluntary elimination of the Kerns I grant. The Upstate CoC (SC-501) Advisory Council voted to reallocate this project. United Housing Connections was notified of the Advisory Council's reallocation decision on July 25, 2023.

## 4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal project funds to create new CoC project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

CoCs must not reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)						
Reduced Project Name Reduced Grant Number Annual Renewal Amount Retained Re						
This list contains no items						

## Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitte d	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Reall oc	PSH/RR H	Expansio n
Opening Doors	2023-08- 21 09:28:	PH	City of Spartanb urg	\$82,156	1 Year	17	Reallocati on	RRH	
Self Sufficienc y RRH	2023-08- 28 13:17:	PH	First Impressio n	\$188,647	1 Year	18	PH Bonus	RRH	

Project Priority List FY2023	Page 8	09/20/2023

## Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	X
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	X
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	

Project Name	Date Submitte d	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RR H	Comp Type	Consolid ation Type	Expansion Type
Rapid Rehousi ng O	2023-08- 28 10:35:	1 Year	Our Daily Rest	\$70,873	16	RRH	PH		
PH-RRH for Domest	2023-08- 25 16:23:	1 Year	Safe Harbor, Inc.	\$183,920	15	RRH	PH		
HAL	2023-08- 25 10:33:	1 Year	United Housing Co	\$212,351	C14	PSH	PH	Individua I	
Piedmon t Keys FI	2023-08- 25 10:44:	1 Year	United Housing Co	\$154,605	5	PSH	PH		
RAVE	2023-08- 25 10:40:	1 Year	United Housing Co	\$223,221	C3	PSH	PH	Survivor	
HOME	2023-08- 25 10:36:	1 Year	United Housing Co	\$234,235	C13	PSH	PH	Individua I	
Reedy Place 2023	2023-08- 25 10:46:	1 Year	United Housing Co	\$128,754	4		SH		
Upstate HMIS	2023-08- 25 10:50:	1 Year	United Housing Co	\$163,215	1		HMIS		
Operatio n Impact	2023-08- 24 14:48:	1 Year	Meg's House	\$208,332	10	PSH	PH		
Transitio ns - Youth	2023-08- 28 08:34:	1 Year	Pendleto n Place	\$136,272	8		TH		
Lakeland s Rural T	2023-08- 24 14:46:	1 Year	Meg's House	\$214,814	9		TH		
Pendleto n Place Y	2023-08- 28 08:31:	1 Year	Pendleto n Place	\$157,640	6	RRH	PH		
Welcome Home RRH	2023-08- 28 11:55:	1 Year	Sunbelt Human Adv	\$214,548	11	RRH	PH		
PRIDE Permane nt H	2023-08- 28 13:15:	1 Year	Project Care	\$494,040	12	PSH	PH		

Project Priority List FY2023	Page 10	09/20/2023
1 Toject i Hority Eist i 12020	i age io	03/20/2020

**Applicant:** Greenville/Anderson/Spartanburg **Project:** SC-501 CoC Registration FY 2023

SC-501 COC\_REG\_2023\_204418

Greenvill e- Sparta	2023-08- 28 16:55:	1 Year	South Carolina De	\$358,569	7	PSH	PH	
Meg's Rapid Rehou	2023-08- 29 13:53:	1 Year	Meg's House	\$210,270	2	RRH	PH	

## Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

#### Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
CoC Planning Proj	2023-08-25 10:53:	1 Year	United Housing Co	\$168,870	Yes

# Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

### Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs must rank all YHDP Renewal projects that HUD initially funded in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP Competition. https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/comm\_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.	
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.	X

Project Priority List FY2023	Page 13	09/20/2023
------------------------------	---------	------------

Project Name	Date Submitte d	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	Rank	PSH/RR H	Consolid ation Type
This list contains no items									

## Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement Project Listing

### Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs must rank all YHDP Replacement applications for projects replacing YHDP Renewal projects that HUD initially funded in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP Competition.

https://www.hud.gov/program offices/comm planning/coc/competition.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?	Rank
This list contains no items							

Project Priority List FY2023	Page 15	09/20/2023

## **Funding Summary**

### Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked the New, Renewal, Round 1 YHDP Renewal and Round 1 YHDP Replacement projects, or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$3,365,659
New Amount	\$270,803
CoC Planning Amount	\$168,870
YHDP Amount - Competitive	\$0
YHDP Amount - Non-Competitive	\$0
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$3,805,332

## **Attachments**

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD- 2991)	Yes	2023 Certificatio	09/11/2023
Other	No	2023 Priority Lis	09/11/2023
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	Local Competition	09/14/2023

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 2023 Certification of Consistency with

Consolidated Plan

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 2023 Priority Listing from Rating and Ranking

Committee

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** 

### **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Local Competition Scoring Tool

## **Submission Summary**

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated		
Before Starting	No Input Required		
1A. Identification	08/07/2023		
2. Reallocation	08/28/2023		
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	09/20/2023		
4. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required		
5A. CoC New Project Listing	09/11/2023		
5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/11/2023		
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	08/28/2023		
5E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	No Input Required		

Project Priority List FY2023 Page 19 09/20/2023
---

**5F. YHDP Replacement Project Listing**No Input Required

Funding Summary

No Input Required

Attachments 09/15/2023

Submission Summary No Input Required



### Continuum of Care SC-501: Greenville, Anderson, Spartanburg/Upstate CoC

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition is a funding opportunity for agencies serving persons experiencing homelessness. The Upstate CoC participates in this funding opportunity annually, renewing and creating permanent housing programs. The Upstate CoC is a regional coalition of over 90 agencies, working together with the goal of ending homelessness. For more information, visit www.upstatecoc.org.

Below is a list of programs seeking CoC funding in the Fiscal Year 2023 Competition. The programs are required to submit a Letter of Consistency with the HUD-approved Consolidated Plan for each jurisdiction below:

450648: Greenville City and
459045: Greenville County
CoC Planning Grant
Upstate HMIS
Self Sufficiency RRH
Rapid Rehousing Youth RRH
Transitions Youth TH
PRIDE PSH
Rapid Rehousing for Domestic
Violence Victims RRH
Shelter Plus Care PSH
Welcome Home RRH
HAL PSH
Piedmont Keys PSH
RAVE PSH
Reedy Place SH

450020. Anderson City and
450030: Anderson City and
459007: Anderson County
CoC Planning Grant
Upstate HMIS
Rapid Rehousing Youth RRH
Transitions Youth TH
Rapid Rehousing for Domestic
Violence Victims RRH
Welcome Home RRH
HAL PSH
HOME PSH

451554: Spartanburg City and
459083: Spartanburg County
CoC Planning Grant
Upstate HMIS
Opening Doors RRH
Rapid Rehousing Youth RRH
Transitions Youth TH
Shelter Plus Care PSH
RAVE PSH

459077: Pickens County
CoC Planning Grant
Upstate HMIS
Rapid Rehousing Youth RRH
Rapid Rehousing for Domestic
Violence Victims RRH
Welcome Home RRH
HAL PSH
HOME PSH

459073: Oconee County	
CoC Planning Grant	
Upstate HMIS	
Rapid Rehousing Oconee RRH	
Rapid Rehousing for Domestic	
Violence Victims RRH	
HAL PSH	
HOME PSH	
	_

459047: Greenwood City/County
CoC Planning Grant
Upstate HMIS
Lakelands TH
Meg's RRH
Operation Impact PSH



### Continuum of Care SC-501: Greenville, Anderson, Spartanburg/Upstate CoC

Consolidated Application for HUD Fiscal Year 2023 CoC Program Competition

### Project Summaries:

Agency	Project	Area of Service	Project Description	
City of Spartanburg	Opening Doors RRH	Spartanburg County	Rental assistance funds for approximately 6 scattered-site housing units for homeless individuals and families in Spartanburg County.	
First Impression of South Carolina	Self Sufficiency RRH	Greenville County	Rental assistance funds and case management services for individuals and families in Greenville County to find safe, affordable housing and develop self-sufficiency.	
Meg's House	Lakelands TH	Greenwood County	Funds to lease 17 transitional housing units and provide case management for homeless individuals and families who are fleeing domestic violence.	
Meg's House	Meg's RRH	Greenwood County	Rental assistance funds for approximately 42 persons in 10 scattered site units, along with case management for families fleeing domestic violence.	
Meg's House	Operation Impact PSH	Greenwood County	Funds to lease 18 permanent supportive housing units and case management for chronically homeless individuals with a disability.	
Our Daily Rest	Rapid Rehousing Oconee RRH	Oconee County	Rental assistance funds for approximately 10 households, individuals, or families experiencing homelessness.	
Pendleton Place	Rapid Rehousing Youth RRH	Greenville, Anderson, Pickens and Spartanburg Counties	Rental assistance funds and case management services for unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness ages 18-24.	

Pendleton Place	Transitions Youth TH	Greenville, Anderson and Spartanburg Counties	Funds to lease approximately 5 transitional housing units and provide case management to unaccompanied youth ages 18-24 who are experiencing homelessness.	
Project Care	PRIDE PSH	Greenville County	Funds to lease approximately 25 permanent supportive housing units for homeless persons with HIV/AIDS.	
Safe Harbor	Rapid Rehousing for Domestic Violence Victims RRH	Anderson, Greenville, Oconee and Pickens Counties	Funds to assist Safe Harbor with domestic violence victims. Program seeks to serve 25 families with rental, with additional funds for rental deposit, maintenance/repairs, and utilities assistance.	
SC Department of Mental Health (Subgrantee: United Housing Connections)	Shelter Plus Care PSH	Greenville and Spartanburg Counties	Funds to lease 36 units of scattered-site housing units for individuals and families experiencing chronic homelessness with a mental health disability.	
Sunbelt Human Advancement Resources (SHARE)	Welcome Home RRH	Anderson, Greenville, and Pickens Counties	Rental assistance funds for approximately 60 persons and intensive case management to help individuals and families work toward the goal of self-sufficiency.	
United Housing Connections	HAL PSH	Anderson, Greenville Oconee, and Pickens Counties	Funds to lease 16 permanent supportive housing units for individuals or families experiencing chronic homelessness. Allows families to stay together instead of separated in the shelter system.	
United Housing Connections	HOME PSH	Anderson, Oconee, and Pickens Counties	Funds to lease 12 permanent supportive housing units for single persons with mental illness or other disability and long-term homelessness.	
United Housing Connections	Piedmont Keys PSH	Greenville County	Funds to lease 12 one-bedroom units for individuals experiencing homelessness with mental and/or physical disabilities.	

United Housing Connections	RAVE PSH	Greenville and Spartanburg Counties	Funds to lease 18 scattered-site units for chronically homeless persons with mental health disabilities.
United Housing Connections	Reedy Place SH	Greenville County	Funds to provide 12 Safe Haven one-bedroom units in a single-site facility for chronically homeless individuals with mental and physical disabilities.

Agency	Project	Area of Service	Project Description	
United Housing Connections	Upstate HMIS	Continuum of Care*	Funds to operate the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) database, which is used by all agencies receiving CoC or Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funds to coordinate participant services and housing.	
United Housing Connections	CoC Planning Grant	Continuum of Care*	Funds to provide a full-time employee who acts as a partnership, development, and capacity-building resource for all partner agencies addressing homelessness in the 13-county service area of the CoC.	

<sup>\*</sup>Continuum of Care: Serving Abbeville, Anderson, Cherokee, Edgefield, Greenville, Greenwood, Laurens, McCormick, Oconee, Pickens, Saluda, Spartanburg, and Union Counties

**RRH:** Rapid Rehousing, provides short-term (1-3 months) and medium-term (4-24 months) tenant-based rental assistance and supportive services.

**PSH:** Permanent Supportive Housing, provides housing assistance (e.g., long-term leasing or rental assistance) and supportive services to households with at least one member (adult or child) with a chronic disability in achieving housing stability.

**TH:** Transitional Housing, provides temporary housing with supportive services to individuals and families with the goal of interim stability and achieving subsequent permanent housing.

**SH:** Safe Haven, provides supportive housing that serves hard-to-reach homeless persons with severe mental illness and have been unable or unwilling to participate in other forms of housing or supportive services.

# U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Upstate Continuum of Care
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.
Location of the Project:	City of Anderson, SC
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	City of Anderson, SC
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	David McCuen, IV
Title:	City Manager
Signature:	Danis Melrer Anyof 14, 2023
Date:	Angust 14, 2023

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Upstate Continuum of Care
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.
Location of the Project:	Anderson County, SC
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD
Name of	
Certifying Jurisdiction:	Anderson County, SC
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Rusty Burns
Title:	County Administrator
Signature:	Mario
Date:	8/11/2023

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Upstate Continuum of Care
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.
Location of the Project:	City of Greenville, SC
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	City of Greenville, SC
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Shannon Lavrin
Title:	City Manager
Signature:	Shonom
Date:	8/17/23

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Upstate Continuum of Care			
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.			
Location of the Project:	Greenville County, SC			
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD			
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	Greenville County, SC			
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Joseph Kernell			
Title:	County Administrator			
Signature				
Date:	8-30-23			

ApplicantName:	Upstate Continuum of Care			
ProjectName:	See list of projects attached			
Location of the Project:	City of Greenwood, SC			
securion of the Freguet.				
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care, U.S. Dept of HUD			
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	City of Greenwood, SC			
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Julie Wilkie			
Title:	City Manager			
Signature:	Julism. Wilkin			
Date:	8/9/2023			

# U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Opsiate Continuum of Care
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.
Location of the Project:	Greenwood County, SC
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	Greenwood County, SC
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Toby Chappell
Title:	County Manager
Signature:	Januar -
Date:	6/9/1023
	V 1

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed	activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's curren	t, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the foll	owing information:)	
Applicant Name:	Upstate Continuum of Care	
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.	
Location of the Project:	Oconee County, SC	
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD	
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	Oconee County, SC	
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Amanda Brock	
Title:	County Manager	
Signature:	Amanda F Broch	
Date:	08.3 [. 2023	

# U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Opstate Continuum of Care	
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.	
Location of the Project:	Pickens County, SC	
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD	
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	Pickens County, SC	
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Ken Roper	
Title:	County Administrator	
Signature:	146	
Date:	8/21/23	

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Opstate Continuum of Care
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.
Location of the Project:	City of Spartanburg, SC
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	City of Spartanburg, SC
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Chris Story
Title:	City Manager
Signature:	Claron
Date:	8/10/23

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Upstate Continuum of Care
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.
Location of the Project:	Spartanburg County, SC
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	Spartanburg County, SC
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	B. Cole Alverson
Title:	County Administrator
Signature:	3. Or Am
Date:	8.9.23

## U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Applicant Name:	Upstate Continuum of Care
	See list of projects attached.
Project Name:	See list of projects attached.
Location of the Project:	Upstate Region-wide, SC
Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:	2023 Continuum of Care Program, U.S. Dept. of HUD
Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:	State of SC Department of Administration
Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name:	Gregg McConkey
Title:	Senior Manager for ESG Program
Signature:	guege (. proakey
Date:	8-11-23



To the Upstate CoC, community members, and key stakeholders:

On the following page, you will find the official Priority Listing for the 2023 CoC Program Competition. This listing was developed by the Upstate CoC Rating and Ranking Committee on September 7, 2023. All applicants that applied in the 2023 Competition were accepted to the Priority Listing. The ranking of applicants was completed with consideration of several materials, including: Letters of Intent to Apply, Housing First Questionnaires, Annual Performance Reviews (APRs), grant management standards, data quality standards, CoC participation, and official Project Applications. All members of the Rating and Ranking Committee were present to weigh applicant materials and reach a unanimous decision.

This official Priority Listing will be submitted by United Housing Connections to HUD as a component of the finalized CoC Consolidated Application.

Sincerely,

Armilla Moore, Representing Tri-County Chapter Chris Padgett, Representing GAMES Chapter Kelly Schlossin, Representing CUS Chapter Lauren Stephens, Representing Greenville/Laurens Chapter

## SC-501 Estimated Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): \$3,459,263

Tier 1: \$3,217,115 CoC Bonus: \$453,491 DV Bonus: \$647,844

							Requested	NEW PROJECTS:
		Project	New or	Accepted or		Score from	Funding	Reallocated or
Organization Name	Project Name	Туре	Renewal	Rejected	Rank	Rating Tool	Amount	CoC Bonus Funds?
United Housing Connections	Upstate HMIS	HMIS	Renewal	Accepted	1	N/A	\$163,215	
Meg's House	Meg's Rapid Rehousing Program	RRH	Renewal	Accepted	2	95	\$210,270	
United Housing Connections	RAVE	PSH	Renewal	Accepted	3	93.8	\$223,221	
United Housing Connections	Reedy Place	SH	Renewal	Accepted	4	91.9	\$128,754	
United Housing Connections	Piedmont Keys FI	PSH	Renewal	Accepted	5	91.1	\$154,605	
Pendleton Place	Pendleton Place Youth RRH	RRH	Renewal	Accepted	6	88.4	\$157,640	
SC Department of Mental Health	Greenville-Spartanburg Consolidated Shelter Plus Care	PSH	Renewal	Accepted	7	84.8	\$358,569	
Pendleton Place	Transitions - Youth	TH	Renewal	Accepted	8	78.5	\$136,272	
Meg's House	Lakelands Rural Transitional Housing Program	TH	Renewal	Accepted	9	77.6	\$214,814	
Meg's House	Operation Impact	PSH	Renewal	Accepted	10	75	\$208,332	
SHARE	Welcome Home	RRH	Renewal	Accepted	11	75	\$214,548	
Project Care	PRIDE	PSH	Renewal	Accepted	12	73.2	\$494,040	
United Housing Connections	НОМЕ	PSH	Renewal	Accepted	13	73.2	\$234,235	
United Housing Connections	HAL	PSH	Renewal	Accepted	14	71	\$212,351	
Safe Harbor	PH-RRH for Domestic Violence Victims	RRH	Renewal	Accepted	15	68.6	\$183,920	
		1	11111111111	ризория		122.0	+===,===	
Our Daily Rest	SC-501 CoC Registration FY 2022	RRH	Renewal	Accepted	16	65.2	\$70,873	
City of Spartanburg	Opening Doors	RRH	New	Accepted	17	87.8	\$82,156	Reallocated
First Impression of SC	Self Sufficiency	RRH	New	Accepted	18	75.4	\$188,647	CoC Bonus

Tier 1 Total: \$3,217,115

STRADDLE\*

Tier 2 Total: \$419,347

<sup>\*</sup>Straddle: \$106,249 to Tier 1/ \$77,671 to Tier 2

## **New Project Rating Tool**

Based of narrative from Letter of Intent to Apply and from Project Application.

# New Project/Renewal Project (without Performance Data) Rating Criteria

Scoring Rubric

## **Experience**

A. Describe your agency's experience in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application. (6 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
<b>6</b> – Agency has a long, exceptional history of working with the proposed	
population and has extended experience providing housing similar to that	
proposed in the application.	
5 – Agency has a great amount of experience working with the proposed	
population, but has limited experience providing housing similar to that	
proposed in the application.	
4 – Agency has moderate experience working with the proposed population but	
has limited experience providing housing similar to that proposed in the	
application.	
<b>3</b> – Agency has little experience working with the proposed population and limited	
experience providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	
<b>2</b> – Agency has no experience working with the proposed population or providing	
housing similar to that proposed in the application but demonstrates in their	
response the potential to develop competency in both areas.	
1 – Agency has no experience working with the proposed population or providing	
housing similar to that proposed in the application and does not demonstrate	
in their response the potential to develop competency in both areas.	
<b>0</b> – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly address the prompt.	

B. Describe your agency's experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. In your response, include: 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. The applicant must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identify. The applicant must also demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. (6 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check
<ul> <li>6 – Agency has a long, exceptional history of utilizing a Housing First approach and, in their response, includes very specific details about the eligibility criteria (void of preconditions to entry), process for accepting new clients, and the process for exiting clients (designed to avoid termination of project participation).</li> <li>5 – Agency has a great amount of experience utilizing a Housing First approach and, in their response, includes details about the eligibility criteria (void of preconditions to entry), process for accepting new clients, and the process for exiting clients (designed to avoid termination of project participation).</li> <li>4 – Agency has experience utilizing a Housing First approach and, in their response, includes incomplete details about the eligibility criteria (void of preconditions to entry), process for accepting new clients, and the process for exiting clients</li> </ul>	One)
<ul> <li>(designed to avoid termination of project participation).</li> <li>3 – Agency has little experience utilizing a Housing First approach and, in their response, includes sporadic details about the eligibility criteria (void of preconditions to entry), process for accepting new clients, and the process for exiting clients (designed to avoid termination of project participation).</li> <li>2 – Agency has no experience utilizing a Housing First approach and, in their response, does not include many details about the eligibility criteria (void of preconditions to entry), process for accepting new clients, and the process for exiting clients (designed to avoid termination of project participation).</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>1 – Agency has no experience utilizing a Housing First approach and, in their response, provides details contrary to Housing First projects regarding eligibility criteria (void of preconditions to entry), process for accepting new clients, and the process for exiting clients (designed to avoid termination of project participation).</li> <li>0 – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly address the prompt.</li> </ul>	

C. Describe your agency's experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. (6 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
<b>6</b> – Agency has a long, exceptional history of effectively utilizing federal funds,	
and, in their response, included very specific details about their experience	
with grant management.	
5 – Agency has a history of effectively utilizing federal funds, and, in their	
response, included specific details about their experience with grant	
management.	
4 – Agency has some experience effectively utilizing federal funds, and, in their	
response, included incomplete details about their experience with grant	
management.	
<b>3</b> – Agency has little experience effectively utilizing federal funds, and, in their	
response, included incomplete details about their experience with grant	
management.	
2 – Agency has no experience effectively utilizing federal funds, but, in their	
response, included an articulated plan for how they would manage these	
grants if awarded.	
1 – Agency has no experience effectively utilizing federal funds, and, in their	
response, did not include an articulated plan for how they would manage	
these grants if awarded.	
<b>0</b> – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly address the prompt.	

## **Design of Housing & Supportive Services**

- A. Describe the extent to which you:
  - a. Demonstrate an understanding of needs of the clients to be served (2 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
2 – Agency provided a sufficiently detailed response to the prompt	
1 – Agency provided some details, but an incomplete response to the prompt	
0 – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly	
address the prompt	

b. Demonstrate how the type, scale, and location of the housing fits the needs of the clients to be served (2 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
2 – Agency provided a sufficiently detailed response to the prompt	
1 – Agency provided some details, but an incomplete response to the prompt	
0 – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly	
address the prompt	

c. Demonstrate the type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, to meet the needs of the clients to be served (2 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
2 – Agency provided a sufficiently detailed response to the prompt	
1 – Agency provided some details, but an incomplete response to the prompt	
<b>0</b> – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly	
address the prompt	

d. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits (2 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
2 – Agency provided a sufficiently detailed response to the prompt	
1 – Agency provided some details, but an incomplete response to the prompt	
0 – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly	
address the prompt	

e. Have established or will establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH, or CoC benchmarks (2 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
2 – Agency provided a sufficiently detailed response to the prompt	
1 – Agency provided some details, but an incomplete response to the prompt	
<b>0</b> – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly	
address the prompt	

B. Describe your plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. (6 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
<b>6</b> – Agency provided and exceptionally clear and comprehensive plan for assisting clients to rapidly secure and maintain appropriate permanent housing that	
meets their needs.	
<b>5</b> – Agency provided a detailed plan for assisting clients to rapidly secure and maintain appropriate permanent housing that meets their needs.	
4 – Agency provided a moderately detailed plan for assisting clients to rapidly	
secure and maintain appropriate permanent housing that meets their needs.	
<b>3</b> – Agency provided a minimally acceptable plan for assisting clients to rapidly	
secure and maintain appropriate permanent housing that meets their needs.	
<b>2</b> – Agency provided some details, but an overall incomplete plan for assisting	
clients to rapidly secure and maintain appropriate permanent housing that meets their needs.	
1 – Agency provided no substantive details and an incomplete plan for assisting	
clients to rapidly secure and maintain appropriate permanent housing that meets their needs.	
<b>0</b> – The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly address the prompt.	

C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. (6 points)

Scoring Criteria	Score (Check One)
<b>6</b> – Agency provided and exceptionally clear and comprehensive plan for assisting clients to increase their employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently.	
<ul> <li>5 – Agency provided a detailed plan for assisting clients to increase their employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently.</li> </ul>	
4 – Agency provided a moderately detailed plan for assisting clients to increase their employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently.	
<b>3</b> – Agency provided a minimally acceptable plan for assisting clients to increase their employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently.	
2 – Agency provided some details, but an overall incomplete plan for assisting clients to increase their employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently.	
1 – Agency provided no substantive details and an incomplete plan for assisting clients to increase their employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently.	
<ul> <li>The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly address the prompt.</li> </ul>	

### **Timeliness**

A. Describe the plan for rapid implementation of the program – documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. (6 points)

Sc	oring Criteria	Score (Check One)
6 -	- Agency provided and exceptionally clear and comprehensive plan and itemized	
	schedule for rapidly implementing the program including how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant.	
5 -	- Agency provided a detailed plan and schedule for rapidly implementing the	
	program including how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant.	
4 -	- Agency provided a moderately detailed plan and schedule for rapidly	
	implementing the program including how the project will be ready to begin	
	housing the first program participant.	
3 -	- Agency provided a minimally acceptable plan and schedule for rapidly	
	implementing the program including how the project will be ready to begin	
	housing the first program participant.	
2 -	- Agency provided some details, but an overall incomplete plan and schedule for	
	rapidly implementing the program including how the project will be ready to	
	begin housing the first program participant.	
1 -	- Agency provided no substantive details and an incomplete plan and schedule	
	for rapidly implementing the program including how the project will be ready	
	to begin housing the first program participant.	
0 -	- The agency did not answer or provide any relevant response to directly address the prompt.	

## **Points Summary**

Total Points Possible: <u>46</u>
Total Points:
Percentage:%
(Total Points/Total Points Possible)*100

## Renewal Project Rating Tool

All data used in this tool is collected from the HMIS or the comparable database for victim service providers. This tool is used for all project types.

#	Overview	Where to find information	Formula Information	DATA	Scoring Criteria	Max Pts	SCORE			
	Upstate CoC									
	Renewing CoC Project									
		Annua	I Performance Monitoring							
	AGENCY NAME:	AGENCY NAME: Insert Agency Name Here								
	PROJECT NAME:		Insert Project Nar	ne Here						
	COMPONENT TYPE		FIRST TIME RENEWALS ONLY: NUMBER OF QUARTERS THE PROJECT HAS OPERATED		NUMBER OF HOUSEHO 2022 CoC PROJEC					
	REPORTING PERIOD:	Insert Reporting Dates Here								

#### Scorecard Summary

			_
Rating Category	Maximu m Points	Project Score (This section auto-populates as card is completed)	
1. HMIS Data Quality	27	0	
2. Project Performance	61	0	
3. Grant Management	24	0	ı
Total Points	112	0	l
Score Adjusted to 100	pts. Scale	0.00%	

Max Objective Points: 62 Max System Performance Points: 47 Max Severe Barriers Points: 3

#	Overview	Where to find information	Formula Information	DATA	Scoring Criteria	Max Pts	SCORE		
	1. HMIS (Or Comparable Database) Data Quality								

1. Overview of HMIS (Or Comparable Database) Data Quality

- ► HMIS participation and data quality are priorities for both the Upstate CoC and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Accurate, complete, and timely data is crucial to determine how projects are contributing to ending homelessness.
- ▶ High quality data is the key to understanding what projects are doing, and how project management, Upstate CoC, and HUD can make decisions about the project.

projec	•						
1.1	Data Quality The CoC is monitored by HUD for Data Quality, including completeness.  Does the Project have any Universal Data Element with an error rate greater than 5%?	Attach Sage APR Upload Review the data in the "% of Error Rate" column of Q06a. and Q06b. Enter the highest number that appears in these columns in cell F18.			Error Rate  • <2% → 5 pts  • 2 < 5% → 3 pts  • > 5.1% → 0 pts	5	
1.2	Data Quality The CoC is monitored by HUD for Data Quality, including completeness.  Does the Project have any Income and Sources information with an error rate greater than 5%?	Review the data in the "% of Error Rate" column of Q06c.			Error Rate • $\langle 2\% \rightarrow 5 \text{ pts}$ • $2 < 5\% \rightarrow 3 \text{ pts}$ • $2 < 5\% \rightarrow 3 \text{ pts}$ • $> 5.1\% \rightarrow 0 \text{ pts}$	5	
1.3	Data Completeness - Is the project's Data Completeness Scorecard percentage above 95.5% on the June 2023 Reportcard?	Data Completeness Report Card distributed to HMIS providers.	N/A		Data Completeness Score  •>=95.5% → 6 pts  •90% -95.4% → 3 pts  •<90% → 0 pts	6	
1.4.1	Data Timeliness at Entry - CoC Funded agencies are required to input client information no more than 3 days after a participant enters the project.	Attach Sage APR Upload. Review Q06e: Data Quality Timeliness. Enter the number of project start records >3 Days	Number of Entries completed between 0 and 3 days Number of Entries completed > 3 Days	#DI\	Timeliness of Entry Assessments: 100% -> 4 pts, 80% to 99% -> 2 pts, <80% -> 0pt	4	
1.4.2	Data Timeliness at Exit - CoC Funded agencies are required to input client information no more than 3 days after a participant exits the project.	Attach Sage APR Upload. Review Q06e: Data Quality Timeliness. Enter the number of project Exit records >3 Days	Number of Exits completed between 0 and 3 days Number of Exits completed > 3 Days	#DI\	Timeliness of Exit Assessments: 100% -> 4 pts, 80% to 99% -> 2 pts, <80% -> 0pt	4	
1.5	HMIS Monitoring  Recently the state HMIS Committee, made up of each CoC System  Administrators approved an HMIS Monitoring Checklist designed to assess HMIS agencies are taking the necessary precautions to ensure that client data is secure and protected at all times.	HMIS System Administrators will be conducting Monitoring Assessments and provide verification of pass or fail for this section.	N/A		HMIS Monitoring Checklist • Pass→ 3 pts • Fail → 0 pts	0	N/A

1.6	Attendance at Required HMIS	This information will be		Participation in required HMIS		
	Trainings and Events	provided by the HMIS System		Trainings and Events		
	CoC Program-funded Agencies are	Administrator for the Upstate		<ul> <li>All trainings and events</li> </ul>		
	required to participate in required	CoC.		attended → 3 pts		
	trainings and CoC-wide HMIS events.			<ul> <li>Some trainings and events</li> </ul>		
			N/A	attended → 1 pts	3	
	Did the Agency have a representative			<ul> <li>No trainings and events</li> </ul>		
	attend the required HMIS trainings			attended → 0 pts		
	and events held during the past year?					
				Total HMIS Data Quality	27	0

2: Project Performance

- 2: Overview of Project Performance

  ➤ Achieving project outcomes provides a benchmark for how well projects help to end homelessness.

  ➤ Assessing and monitoring project outcomes is necessary to understand a project's rate of success and their contribution toward meeting CoC-wide performance goals.

periori	nance goals.							
2.1	Successful Maintenance of/Housing Placement from PSH Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of project success, as permanent housing is what ends a person's experience of homelessness.  What is the percentage of persons that maintained their housing or exited to a permanent housing destination?	Attach Sage APR Upload Enter the numbers found in the locations described in column The calculation will be performed automatically.	Total Number of Persons served (Q05a, Row 1) Number of Stayers (Q5a, Row 8)  Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations (Q23c, 3rd row from the bottom, Column 1)  Persons with Successful Maintenance/Housing Placemement	0	#DIV/0!	Successful Housing Placement from PSH $\bullet$ 94-100 $\%$ $\rightarrow$ 9 pts $\bullet$ 87-93 $\%$ $\rightarrow$ 6 pts $\bullet$ 80-86 $\%$ $\rightarrow$ 3 pts $\bullet$ <80 $\%$ $\rightarrow$ 0 pts	9	
2.1a	Rapid Placement into Permanent Housing.  A well-functioning Housing Crisis Response System moves households from Homelessness to Permanent Housing as swiftly as possible.  Are the majority of participants placed into permanent housing within 30 days of project entry?	Attach Sage APR Upload Enter the numbers found in the locations described in column D. The calculation will be performed automatically.	Total Persons moved into Housing (Q22c Row 9, Column 1) 7 Days or less (Q22c Row 1, Column 1) 8-14 Days (Q22c Row 2, Column 1) 15-21 Days (Q22c Row 3, Column 1)  22-30 Days (Q22c Row 4, Column 1)		#DIV/0!	Placement in less than 30 Days  > 80% <30 Days → 5 pts  • 79%-60% <30 Days → 3 pts  • <60% >30 Days → 0 pts  Note: Some projects may not have this data available	5	
2.2	Returns to Homelessness Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success. It is also System Performance Measure 2a.  What is the percentage of persons returning to homelessness within 6-12 months of exiting to permanent housing?	This information will be provided by HMIS System Administrator if not accessible by Agency Admin.  Run System Perfomance Measures report (HMIS canned report). Scope is Project-Focused, Measure is Measure 2.	N/A			Returns to Homelessness • $0.4 \% \rightarrow 7$ pts • $5.9 \% \rightarrow 5$ pts • $10.15 \% \rightarrow 3$ pts • $>15\% \rightarrow 0$ pts	7	
2.3	Income Growth for Stayers Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. HUD measures the CoC's ability to increase participants' income through the NOFA and System Performance Measures.  What is the percentage of adults who increased their total income (earned and non-employment)?  If this Project has been in Operation less than 1 year Select N/A	Attach Sage APR Upload  Q19a1. Row 5 ("Number of Adults with Any Income(i.e., total income), Column 9 ("Performance measure: Percent of persons who accomplished this measure")  Enter the % listed where this row and column meet.	N/A			Income Growth for Stayers  • 81-100 % → 6 pts  • 61-80 % → 4 pts  • 40-60 % → 2 pts  • <40 % → 0 pts	6	

2.4	Income Growth for Leavers Improving a household's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing vulnerability to homelessness. HUD measures the CoC's ability to increase participants' income through the NOFA and System Performance Measures.  What is the percentage of adults who increased total income (earned and non-employment) by Project Exit?  If this Project has no exits during the reporting period select N/A	accomplished this measure") Enter the % listed where this row and column meet.	N/A			Income Growth for Leavers  • 91-100 % → 6 pts  • 81-90 % → 4 pts  • 71-80 % → 2 pts  • <71 % → 0 pts	6	
2.5	Bed Utilization Rate Bed utilization rates demonstrate the CoC is fully utilizing its inventory. 'Refer to first page of APR for this Information  What is the project's average bed utilization rate?	Attach Sage APR Upload  Enter the numbers found in the locations described in column D. The calculation will be performed automatically.	January Total ( Q07b Row 1, Column 1)  April Total ( Q07b Row 2, Column 1)  July Total ( Q07b Row 3, Column 1)  October Total ( Q07b Row 4, Column 1)  Total Number of Beds as Specifed in the 2023 HIC		#DIV/0!	Bed Utilization Rate • 96-100 % → 8 pts • 91-95 % → 6 pts • 85-90 % → 4 pts • $<85\%$ → 0 pts	8	
2.6a	Targeting Eligible Participants To comply with CoC Program regulations, all households entering a CoC Program-funded project must meet the HUD definition of homeless under Category 1 or Category 4.  What percentage of Project Participants enrolled from eligible residences prior to Project Entry?	Attach Safe APR Upload  **Manual calculations required**	Total Number of Adults (Q05a, Row 2)  Download list of clients from Q15, "Subtotal" under "Other Locations". Cross reference with list of clients from Q14b, "Yes". Total number of persons not appearing on DV list:		#DIV/0!	Percentage of Eligible Participants • 96-100 % $\rightarrow$ 6 pts • 91-95 % $\rightarrow$ 3 pts • <91 % $\rightarrow$ 0 pts	6	
2.6b	Vulnerable Populations - Zero Income at Entry  CoC-funded projects are strongly encouraged to serve those who are most vulnerable and demonstrating a high level of need.  What percentage of Project	Attach Sage APR Upload  Enter the numbers found in the locations described in column D. The calculation will be performed automatically.	Total Number of Adults (Q16, Row 13) Number of Adults with "No Income" at start (Q16, Row		#DIV/0!	Percentage of Clients with Zero Income at Entry >=65% -> 1 pts <65% -> 0 pts	1	
2.6c	Participants have zero income at Vulnerable Populations - More than One Disability at Entry What percentage of Project Participants have more than one disability at entry?	Attach Sage APR Upload  Enter the numbers found in the locations described in column D. The calculation will be performed automatically.	1, Column 1) Total Number of Persons (Q13a2, Row 8)  Number of Persons with 2 conditions (Q13a2, Row 3)  Number of Persons with 3+ conditions (Q13a2, Row 4)		#DIV/0!	Percentage of Clients with More than One Disability >=75% -> 1 pts <75% -> 0 pts	1	
2.6d	Vulnerable Populations - Living Situation at Entry  What percentage of Project Participants entered from a "Place not meant for habitation?"	Attach Sage APR Upload  Enter the numbers found in the locations described in column D. The calculation will be performed automatically.	Total number of persons (Q15, Row 31, Column 1)  Total number of persons living in a "Place not meant for habitation" prior to entry (Q15, Row 4, Column 1)		#DIV/0!	Percentage of clients who are entering from a "Place not meant for habitation" >=75% -> 1 pts <75% -> 0 pts	1	
2.7	Coordinated Entry(CE) Clients: Per 24 CFR 578.(a)(8), CoCs must establish and operate a Coordinated Entry System that provides an initial comprehensive assessment of the needs of individuals and families for housing and services. The purpose is to allocate assistance as effectively as possible, prioritizing services to those that need it the most.  What was the percentage of new clients that were enrolled into the project after June 01, 2016 that had completed Coordinated Entry and scored for PSH?	Agencies should score this metric based on their records. However, the Upstate CoC will validate scores using custom reporting in HMIS.		a referral clients in ommittee the CoC		Agency followed Coordinated Entry Policies  2.7.1 = Y → 3 pts  2.7.2 = Y → 0 pts  N → -5 pts	3	

2.8	Low-barrier admission policies Low barrier admission policies are important to prevent screening out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Housing First practices are a requirement of all HUD CoC-funding recipients. The four components are:		Y/N	Low-barrier admission policies  • Program does not screen out on any of the criteria → 8 pts  • Program adheres to all but one of the criteria → 2 pts  • Program screens out by a combination of more than one of the criteria → 0 pts		
2.8a	Income: Are participants screened out based on having too little or no	The agency will complete and	Y/N			
2.8b	Substance Use: Are participants screened out based on an active or history of substance use?	submit the Housing First Questionnaire for the project based on their previous practice over the past year.	Y/N		0	
2.8c	Criminal Record: Are participants screened out based on having a criminal record - with exceptions for HUD-mandated restrictions?	CoC Staff will review the responses to the Questionnaire and provide a point total based on the	Y/N		8	
2.8d	Domestic Violence: Are participants screened out based on history of domestic violence (e.g. lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, or law enforcement	Scoring Criteria.	Y/N			
2.8e	Lack of Existing Connection to Services: Are participants screened out because they do not have existing connections to other relevant service providers prior to their enrollment in		Y/N			
				Total for Section 2	61	t

### 3. Grant Management & CoC Involvement

- 3: Overview of Grant Management

  ► Projects must demonstrate understanding of a compliance with the federal and local regulations governing operation of the project

  ► Effective Grant Management includes appropriate tracking of expenditures

  ► Ensuring participation in mandated Continuum of Care Activities (e.g. mandatory webinars and trainings)

3.1	Financial Administration  Did the project spend down all funds from the most recent Grant Year Closeout?  If the Project is in the first year of operation select N/A	Provide your most recent Grant Closeout Agreement. CoC Staff will score this criteria.	Total funds expended for the most recently completed grant year, as reflected in the Grant Closeout Agreement  Total Grant Amount Awarded for most recently completed grant year, as reflected in the Grant Closeout Agreement.		#DIV/0!	• <10% Underspent → 12 pts • >10% Underspent → 0 pts	12	
3.2	eLOCCS Draws Did this Project make at least one successful draw from eLOCCS at least once every 3mos for the most recently completed Project Year?	Provide screenshots showing that a drawdown had taken in each quarter of the funding year.  CoC Staff will compare the eLOCCS screenshots to determine if the Project is making successful Quarterly Draws.	N/A		Y/N	Frequency of draws in e LOCCS  • Monthly or Quarterly Draws→ 0 pts  • <1 Draw per Quarter → - 10pts	0	
3.3	Participation in CoC Activities Did an authorized representative from the Agency attend all required: • CoC General Meetings • Required CoC Program Trainings	CoC Staff will score this metric. Providers will be offered the opportunity to contest CoC Staff recordkeeping prior to the finalization of the scorecard.	No action required from pro	eject staff.		• Full Participation (100%)→ 5 pts • <100-90% Attendance → 2 pts • <90% Attendance → 0 pts	5	
3.4	Timely APR Submission Timely submission of APRs to HUD is mandatory for compliance with the CoC Interim rule.  Did the project submit its APR for the most recently completed grant year within the required period, that is within 90 days of the end of the grant's operating year?  If this Project is in the first year of Operation, select N/A	Attach a screenshot of the Sage APR submission timestamp for the last submitted APR to the scorecard.	N/A		Y/N	Timely APR Submission  • APR submitted on time ( <90 Days)→ 0 pts  • APR not submitted on time ( >91 Days) → -6 pts	0	

					Total for Section 3	24	0
3.8	Grantee has consumer representation on Agency Board or Governing Body	Agency will provide the information.		Y/N	Representation on board or governing body: Yes → 5 pts No → 0 pts	5	
3.7	Standards reflecting adoption of Preventing Involuntary Family Separation	Agency to provide policies and procedures reflecting Preventing Involuntary Family Separation	N/A	Y/N	Yes $\rightarrow$ 1 pts No $\rightarrow$ 0 pts	1	
3.6	Standards reflecting Equal Access	Agency to provide policies and procedures reflecting Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs	N/A	Y/N	Yes $\rightarrow$ 1 pts No $\rightarrow$ 0 pts	1	
3.5	CoC Program Compliance Has the Project been monitored by the HUD CPD Field Office Representative assigned to the Project in the last 12 months? Does the Agency have monitoring findings as a result of that monitoring?	Please attach to the scorecard either HUD's final monitoring report or a statement from the Executive Director on Agency Letterhead stating that the project has not been monitored in the last 12 months.			Open Monitoring Findings  • This Project was/was not monitored in the last 12 months and has no monitoring Findings. → 0 pts  • This Project was monitored in the last 12 months and received findings as a result of that monitoring. → -3pts for each finding	0	

4: Scorecard Comments

▶ Use this space to write any comments on your score after completing scorecard.